

# Quantum Field Theory

## Set 4

### Appetizer: Matrix elements

Compute  $\langle 0 | A_\mu(x) | \epsilon(\vec{k}) \rangle$  and  $\langle 0 | F_{\mu\nu}(x) | \epsilon(\vec{k}) \rangle$  where  $|\epsilon(\vec{k})\rangle = \epsilon^\mu(\vec{k}) a_\mu^\dagger(k) |0\rangle$  is a one-photon state. What is the physical interpretation of these matrix elements?

### Exercise 1: Transformation properties of transverse photons

The polarization of a photon of momentum  $k_\mu$  is defined by the four vector  $\varepsilon_\mu$  satisfying  $\varepsilon_\mu k^\mu = 0$ . In the Coulomb gauge we instead use the transverse polarization  $\varepsilon_\mu^\perp = (0, \vec{\varepsilon}^\perp)$ .

- Check that the conditions  $\varepsilon_0^\perp = 0$  and  $\varepsilon_\mu^\perp k^\mu = 0$  are *not* Lorentz invariant, which is to say, given a generic polarization vector  $\varepsilon_\mu^\perp$  satisfying them, the Lorentz transform  $\varepsilon'_\nu^\perp = \Lambda_\mu^\nu \varepsilon_\mu^\perp$  has in general  $\varepsilon'_0^\perp \neq 0$  and  $\varepsilon'_i^\perp k'^i \neq 0$ , where  $k'^\mu = \Lambda_\nu^\mu k^\nu$ .
- Show that it is still possible to find a vector  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_\mu^\perp = \varepsilon'_\mu^\perp + \alpha k'_\mu$ , i.e. equal to  $\varepsilon'_\mu^\perp$  up to a longitudinal component (which is to say, up to a gauge transformation), which satisfies  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0^\perp = 0$  and  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i^\perp k'^i = 0$ .
- Now, working with helicity eigenstates  $\varepsilon_\pm(k)$ , defined such that  $\exp(-i\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}\phi) \varepsilon_\pm(k) = e^{\mp i\phi} \varepsilon_\pm(k)$ , where  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{k}/|\mathbf{k}|$ , prove that their Lorentz transform will take the following form:

$$\Lambda^\mu_\nu \varepsilon_\pm^\nu(k) = e^{\mp i\phi(\Lambda, k)} \left( \varepsilon_\pm^\mu(\Lambda k) + \frac{\mp \alpha(\Lambda, k) - i\beta(\Lambda, k)}{\sqrt{2}\omega} (\Lambda k)^\mu \right),$$

where  $\alpha(\Lambda, k)$  and  $\beta(\Lambda, k)$  will be functions of the specific Lorentz transform. This shows again that the polarization vectors are not covariant.

*Hint:* it might be useful to define the following projector onto longitudinal components

$$P_L^{\mu\nu} = \frac{k^\mu \bar{k}^\nu + k^\nu \bar{k}^\mu}{k \cdot \bar{k}}, \quad \bar{k}^\mu = (k^0, -k^i).$$

*Hint 2:* For the third question, it might be useful to express the polarization in a reference frame  $\varepsilon_\pm^\mu(k) = \Lambda_{k^\nu}^\mu \varepsilon_\pm^\nu(\bar{k})$ , where  $\bar{k}^\mu = (\omega, 0, 0, \omega)$ . Moreover, it should also be useful to recall that any element of the group  $ISO(2)$  can be expressed as  $W(\alpha, \beta, \phi) = S(\alpha, \beta)R(\phi)$ , where  $R$  is a rotation and  $S$  can be expressed as:

$$S(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) & -\beta & \alpha & -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \\ -\beta & 1 & 0 & \beta \\ \alpha & 0 & 1 & -\alpha \\ \frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) & -\beta & \alpha & -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

### Exercise 2: Equivalence of Hamiltonian and Lagrangian formalism for massive vectors

Consider the Lagrangian of a massive vector field. Compute the conjugate momenta of  $A_\mu$  and show that  $\Pi_0 = 0$ . This means that  $A_0$  is not a dynamical variable but can be expressed in terms of the other fields. Show that  $A_0 = -\frac{1}{M^2} \partial_i \Pi^i$ . Then show that the Hamiltonian is:

$$H = \int d^3x \left( \frac{1}{2} \Pi^i \Pi^i + \frac{1}{2M^2} (\partial_i \Pi^i)^2 + \frac{1}{4} F^{ij} F^{ij} + \frac{1}{2} M^2 A^i A^i \right).$$

Using the following commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [A_i(\vec{x}, t), \Pi^j(\vec{y}, t)] &= i\delta_i^j \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y}), \\ [A_i(\vec{x}, t), A_j(\vec{y}, t)] &= [\Pi^i(\vec{x}, t), \Pi^j(\vec{y}, t)] = [A_0(\vec{x}, t), \Pi^j(\vec{y}, t)] = 0, \\ [A_i(\vec{x}, t), A_0(\vec{y}, t)] &= -\frac{1}{M^2} [A_i(\vec{x}, t), \partial_m \Pi^m(\vec{y}, t)] = \frac{i}{M^2} \partial_i^{(x)} \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y}), \end{aligned}$$

show that the Hamilton equations of motion are equivalent to the Lagrange equations of motion.

## Homework

Consider the Gupta-Bleuler Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{GB} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu A_\nu)(\partial^\mu A^\nu).$$

- Compute the angular momentum

$$M^{ij} = J^{ij} + S^{ij},$$

where we separated the orbital and angular part as usual:

$$\begin{aligned} J^{ij} &= \int d^3x J^{0ij}(x), & S^{ij} &= \int d^3x S^{0ij}(x), \\ J^{\mu\rho\sigma}(x) &= x^\rho T^{\mu\sigma} - x^\sigma T^{\mu\rho}, & S^{\mu\rho\sigma} &= i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu A_\nu} (\mathcal{J}^{\rho\sigma})_\nu^\gamma A_\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

- By working with the algebra of the ladder operators, show that  $M^{ij}$  is a physical observable in the sense that:

$$[L, M^{ij}] \propto L.$$

where  $L \equiv \partial^\mu A_\mu^-$ . Does this happen also for  $J^{ij}$  and  $S^{ij}$  *separately*? In other words, is it true that both the orbital and spin angular momentum are physical (measurable) separately, i.e.  $[L, J^{ij}] \propto L$  and  $[L, S^{ij}] \propto L$ ?